

October 12, 2019

Dear Mrs. Boseley,

Some researchers have been criticizing my work for decades. Some just hated me, others were afraid of the results. Admittedly some of the results seem hard to believe.

One of the critics has sworn in court to having been jealous and hateful and thus lied about the criticism he publicly have.

My multicausal research is a proper scientific discipline in which I carried out prospective interventional studies. The data control was done by independent sources. With these studies we have acquired important results in preventive medicine, as well as in political and sport psychology.

The concept of multicausal research has not been mentioned by any critic. Neither Pelosi nor Marks have considered any multicasual aspects of these studies. Our program may be about 60 years ahead of the current state of research. It is my assumption that neither Pelosi nor Marks have the ethical, intellectual or scientific basis for being able to understand the many facets of our research.

It is quite surprising that King's College London hasn't made any effort to contact me before deciding about my documentation, research and publications.

We don't even know which journal articles are being censored. To call our research program "one of the worst scientific scandals of all time" comes from Pelosi not understanding our complex research, which in deed has produced unusual results.

I am willing to present and explain all our studies in preventive medicine, radicalism and faschism and sport psychology in London with the Guardian or BBC present.

Prof. Hans Eysenck has also been presented erroneously. He mainly published mutlicausal results from our studies.

I invite you and any interested British researcher to Heidelberg to see the documentation, research program and future planning.

Sincerely,

Ronald F. Grossarth-Maticek
Dr. med., Dr. phil., Dr. Sci., Dr. h.c.